Projection structure and oligomeric properties of a bacterial core protein translocase
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The major route for protein export or membrane integration in bacteria occurs via the Sec-dependent transport apparatus. The core complex in the inner membrane, consisting of SecYEG, forms a protein-conducting channel, while the ATPase SecA drives translocation of substrate across the membrane. The SecYEG complex from *Escherichia coli* was over-expressed, purified and crystallized in two dimensions. A 9 A projection structure was calculated using electron cryo-microscopy. The structure exhibits P121 symmetry, having two asymmetric units inverted with respect to one another in the unit cell. The map shows elements of secondary structure that appear to be transmembrane helices. The crystallized form of SecYEG is too small to comprise the translocation channel and does not contain a large pore seen in other studies. In detergent solution, the SecYEG complex displays an equilibrium between monomeric and tetrameric forms. Our results therefore indicate that, unlike other known channels, the SecYEG complex can exist as both an assembled channel and an unassembled smaller unit, suggesting that transitions between the two states occur during a functional cycle.
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**Introduction**

Most proteins are exported from bacterial cells by a ubiquitous and essential Sec-dependent protein transport pathway, the key components of which have been identified by genetic and biochemical studies in *Escherichia coli* (Oliver and Beckwith, 1982; Kumamoto and Beckwith, 1983; Schatz et al., 1989; for a review see Driessen et al., 1998). A core complex of the proteins SecY, SecE and SecG (SecYEG complex) is responsible for the transport of proteins to the inner membrane, the outer membrane and the periplasmic space, or for export into the medium. Homologues of SecY and SecE are found also in eukaryotes (Jungnickel et al., 1994; Rapoport et al., 1996), archaea (Pohlschröder et al., 1997) and even in chloroplast thylakoid membranes (Mant et al., 1995).

SecY and SecE are essential components of the bacterial translocase (Brundage et al., 1990; Akimaru et al., 1991). *Escherichia coli* and some other bacteria possess a non-essential third subunit, SecG (Nishiyama et al., 1994; Duong and Wickner, 1997a). In addition, in most bacteria, there exists a further complex of SecDFyjC (Duong and Wickner, 1997b). SecY, SecE and SecG are transmembrane proteins and their sequence hydropathy predicts that they contain 10, three and two membrane-spanning segments, respectively. Together they form the channel through which proteins are translocated (Meyer et al., 1999), presumably in the same way that the eukaryotic equivalent, the Sec61p complex, forms a protein conduit in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Hanein et al., 1996; Beckmann et al., 1997; Ménétret et al., 2000). While SecYEG provides the route for protein translocation and membrane integration, the driving force is provided by SecA, a peripherally associated membrane protein, which associates with the SecYEG complex during translocation (Cabelli et al., 1988; Lill et al., 1989; Akimaru et al., 1991; Douville et al., 1995). SecA may promote translocation by transferring successive segments of a polypeptide chain through the SecYEG channel (Economou and Wickner, 1994; Economou et al., 1995; Duong et al., 1997).

At present, our structural knowledge of any transmembrane translocation apparatus is limited to low-resolution structures from single particle analysis by electron microscopy (Hanein et al., 1996; Beckmann et al., 1997; Kunkele et al., 1998; Shilton et al., 1998; Meyer et al., 1999; Manting et al., 2000; Ménétret et al., 2000). The SecYEG or SecYE complex forms circular toroid assemblies (Meyer et al., 1999; Manting et al., 2000) with the active structure possibly being a tetramer (Manting et al., 2000), although biochemical data suggest the monomer to be the active species (Yahr and Wickner, 2000). The eukaryotic homologue of the SecYEG complex, the Sec61p complex, also forms rings, probably consisting of three or four copies of the complex (Hanein et al., 1996). When bound to the ribosome, the central pore in the ring is aligned with the exit channel of the ribosome where the nascent chain emerges (Beckmann et al., 1997; Ménétret et al., 2000), suggesting that the nascent polypeptide chain traverses the ER membrane through the channel pore. Freeze-fracture electron microscopy indicates that the Sec61p channel may be assembled upon engagement with the ribosome in co-translational translocation or upon association with another membrane protein complex in post-translational translocation (Hanein et al., 1996).

The detailed architecture of the channel, the nature of the association with SecA during the translocation event...
and the exact conformation of substrate during transport are fundamental issues that need to be addressed by crystallographic studies. We are concentrating on the core protein-translocating complex consisting of SecY, SecE and SecG and use two-dimensional crystallization and electron cryo-microscopy for structural analysis. These methods have yielded atomic models of three membrane proteins: bacteriorhodopsin (Henderson et al., 1990; Grigorieff et al., 1996), plant light-harvesting complex (Kühlbbrandt et al., 1994) and aquaporin (Murata et al., 2000). The structures of several other membrane proteins, including rhodopsin (Unger et al., 1997b), plant photosystem II reaction centre (Rhee et al., 1998), plasma membrane H+-ATPase (Auer et al., 1998), gap junction (Unger et al., 1999) and NhaA (Williams, 2000), have been determined at intermediate (6–9 Å) resolution by this technique.

Here we report on the first intermediate resolution projection structure of the SecYEG complex from E.coli. Large quantities of SecYEG were produced by homologous overexpression, yielding pure protein capable of stimulating the ATPase activity of SecA and protein translocation into vesicles. The oligomeric state of the SecYEG complex was investigated in detergent solution by analytical ultracentrifugation. Two-dimensional crystals were grown, of which images were recorded by electron cryo-microscopy. Image processing reveals a 9 Å resolution view of a protein translocation complex in projection. Our data indicate that the SecYEG complex can exist as a stable, small unit that may assemble into channels upon oligomerization.

Results

Purification of the SecYEG complex

The operon coding for SecE, Y and G was modified to extend the reading frame of SecE by a His6 tag on the N-terminus. The expression was under the control of the arabinoase promoter, which successfully brought about the overproduction of SecYEG. The complex was assembled appropriately into the inner membrane (W.Haase and I.Collinson, unpublished results). The overexpression of SecYEG in the membrane correlates with increased translocation activity found in isolated inner membranes (P.Duong, unpublished results). Total membranes were extracted in n-octyl-β-D-glucoside (OG), and solubilized SecYEG was bound to a nickel column, where the detergent was exchanged to nonapoloxyl-ethylene dodecyl ether (C12E9) by extensive washing in a new solution. Purification was completed by size exclusion and anion exchange chromatography (Figure 1), and the final protein preparation was typically 0.4 mg/ml. The preparation was pure except for two minor contaminants generated by a cleavage in SecY (Figure 1, indicated by a star). In the same way, we also purified a mutant complex, SecYprfAEG, in which SecY carries a mutation that allows substrates with a defective signal sequence to be transported across the membrane (Bieker et al., 1990; Prinz et al., 1996; van der Wolk et al., 1998).

Immunoprecipitation of SecYEG

The gel profile of the SecYEG complex stained with Coomassie blue indicated that the band intensity of SecG was considerably weaker than that of SecE. To exclude that the SecG subunit had been depleted during the preparation, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments using polyclonal antibodies raised against SecY or SecG (Figure 2). With both antibodies, the ratio of the intensities of the bands appeared to be about the same (lanes 1 and 2). In addition, the ratio was similar to that in the purified complex (lane 3). Since SecG was not more abundant when SecG antibodies were used, it seems that SecG is present in every complex and was not depleted.

Activity determination of SecYEG purified in C12E9

SecYEG reconstituted into phospholipid vesicles promoted stimulation of the ATPase activity of SecA in the presence of the substrate proOmpA (pro-outer membrane protein A; Figure 3A). The activity is essentially the same as that seen from material purified in dodecyl maltoside, the more commonly used detergent for the preparation of
SecYEG (Figure 3A). Differences observed are probably due to variable reconstitution efficiencies of SecYEG into phospholipid vesicles. Using the data, the absolute ATPase activity can be calculated. In the absence of SecYEG, the measured ATPase activity of SecA is 4.3 mol/mol/min. In the presence of phospholipid vesicles containing SecYEG, the rate is 53 mol/mol/min, a 12-fold stimulation (only a slight stimulation is observed in the presence of empty phospholipid vesicles). These data are comparable to values previously reported (Lill et al., 1990; Bassilana and Wickner, 1993).

The purified complex is also active in translocation assays (Figure 3B). When SecYEG purified in C12E9 was reconstituted into phospholipid vesicles, it supported translocation of proOmpA in the presence of ATP and SecA; proOmpA translocated into the vesicles was protected from proteolysis (Figure 3B). In the absence of ATP, no translocation was observed (lane 7). SecYEG purified in C12E9 or dodecyl maltoside had the same translocation activity (lane 6 versus 4). For comparison, the translocation activity of inner membrane vesicles of E.coli was also tested (lane 2). In this case, partial cleavage of the signal sequence by the signal peptidase present in the membranes was observed. These results indicate that the purified SecYEG complex has a translocation activity similar to that reported previously (van der Does et al., 2000). In addition, vesicles containing reconstituted SecYEG exhibit ribosome-binding activity (Prinz et al., 2000).

**In solution, SecYEG exists in an association equilibrium**

Sedimentation equilibrium experiments were carried out to determine the association state of SecYEG solubilized in the detergent C12E9 (Figure 4). D2O was added to match the density of the detergent. At a sufficiently low protein concentration, all experimental A(r) curves could be fitted perfectly according to Equation 1 (Materials and methods), applying only terms for the monomer, dimer and tetramer, with the terms for monomer and tetramer dominating. A typical example is shown in Figure 4A; the experiment described has both monomer and tetramer forms in approximately equal proportions, 52 and 48%, respectively. A model including a range of dimer of up to 5% also yielded an acceptable fit to the data. Interpretation of the experimental data based on a monomer–trimer–hexamer model of self-association led to a significant increase in the sum of the squared residuals, in most cases by >20%. Furthermore, in that case, the residuals of the fit were distributed non-statistically along the r-axis. Therefore, our data do not support this model.

At ~10-fold higher protein concentrations, the occurrence of larger oligomers (around octamers) was observed. However, in these cases, the number of parameters required to fit the data was too large to allow an unambiguous identification of the oligomers present.

The dependency of the local weight average molar mass in the centrifugation cell on local protein concentration, $M_w$ (w), is shown in Figure 4C for two different loading concentrations. The two curves are identical within the limits of error. This shows that the different oligomers of SecYEG present are linked by an association equilibrium.

Similar experiments were performed on the mutant SecYprlA4EG complex that is able to transport substrates with defective or missing signal sequences (Figure 4D). The SecYprlA4EG had a different oligomeric distribution in detergent solution, namely 50% monomers, 20% dimers and 30% tetramers.

**Two-dimensional crystallization of SecYEG and projection structure**

Two-dimensional crystals of SecYEG were obtained after detergent removal by dialysis, at lipid to protein ratios of 0.05–0.8 (w/w). They could be recognized by their ability to distort the shape of vesicles and were typically between 0.5 and 2 μm in length (Figure 5). The presence of a lattice was usually indicated by straight-edged, tubular or triangular shapes. These crystals flatten on the electron microscope grid to form two planar lattices, which can be processed independently. Coherent crystalline patches were usually ~0.4 μm in diameter. Analysis of the crystals by SDS–PAGE indicated that they contained all three subunits in the same proportion as the purified SecYEG and the immunoprecipitated complexes (Figure 2B).
A computed Fourier transform from an image of a crystal after correction of the lattice distortions is shown in Figure 6. Significant reflections appear up to 9 Å resolution. The phase errors associated with each Fourier component after merging 12 images, and the overall phase residuals (Table I) show that the data are complete and isotropic up to 9 Å resolution. The overall phase residual to 8.9 Å was 15.6°. As suggested by the weak or absent IQ (intensity quality) values of the [0, k (odd)] reflections in the Fourier transform indicate that the phases obeyed the P121±b symmetry (revealed by ALLSPACE). Thus, the unit cell \( (a = 102 \, \text{Å}, \, b = 56 \, \text{Å}, \, \gamma = 90°) \) contains two asymmetric units, related to each other by a 2-fold screw axis along the \( b \)-direction. In the two-dimensional crystals, the SecYEG assembles form rows, which alternate with respect to one another. Figure 7A shows the projection map of SecYEG drawn in P1 (without imposed symmetry) to 9 Å calculated from 12 independent lattices; Figure 7B and D shows projections maps drawn at 9 and 15 Å, respectively, with the P121±b symmetry imposed.

Discussion

We have overexpressed and purified large quantities of SecYEG complex and have used this material to grow two-dimensional crystals. The crystals have yielded the first detailed view of a bacterial core translocon. The purified complex is active according to different criteria. Upon reconstitution into phospholipid vesicles, the complex promotes the translocation-associated ATPase activity of SecA, and supports translocation of substrate across the bilayer. Both activities are comparable to those in similar experiments with SecYEG purified in the more usually employed detergent dodecyl maltoside. Although the purified SecYEG complex shows only a weakly stainable SecG band, this is not likely to be due to depletion of SecG during the preparation; this has been demonstrated by immunoprecipitation experiments. SecG probably binds Coomassie blue only poorly, like other membrane proteins which, on account of their hydrophobic nature, sometimes do not even stain at all (Collinson et al., 1994).

![Fig. 4. Sedimentation equilibrium analysis of SecYEG.](image)
Alternatively, the complex may have an unusual subunit stoichiometry. We see the same staining pattern in preparations puriﬁed from at least ﬁve alternative detergents, including dodecyl maltoside, and also with the crystalline preparations. Furthermore, a population of complexes with different subunit compositions would not be conducive to the growth of crystals. Taken together, it seems that the puriﬁed protein and the crystals have the same full complement of all three subunits.

The oligomeric state of the protein in detergent solution has been studied by analytical ultracentrifugation. The complex exhibits an association equilibrium between monomers and tetramers, with few or no dimeric intermediates present. In addition, higher oligomers were evident at elevated concentrations. Interestingly, the mutant SecYprlA4EG, which can translocate substrates with a defective or missing signal sequence, has a signiﬁcantly increased dimer component in solution. Since the mutant complex is believed to be pre-primed for translocation (Duong and Wickner, 1999), it is possible that the dimer constitutes an intermediate during the assembly of an active channel. A recent study using electron microscopy and single particle analysis of negatively stained complexes (Manting et al., 2000) also highlights the presence of monomers, dimers and tetramers. However, the authors report that SecA is required for tetramer formation and they propose that the active translocation channel consists of four copies of SecYEG and two of SecA. Another study reported that the active complex consists of one SecYEG (Yahr and Wickner, 2000). Our experiments were done in the absence of SecA and in a detergent different from that used the former studies. Therefore, it is difﬁcult to make a direct comparison.

If the asymmetric unit contained only one SecYEG complex with a total of 15 membrane-spanning α-helices, the calculated surface area per α-helix (199 Å²/α-helix) would be only slightly above that of the most tightly packed two-dimensional crystals of membrane proteins [bacteriorhodopsin 186 Å²/α-helix (Henderson et al., 1990; Grigorieff et al., 1996), NhaA 179 Å²/α-helix (Williams, 2000) and rhodopsin 184 Å²/α-helix (Krebs Fig. 5. Electron micrograph of a negatively stained two-dimensional crystal of SecYEG. Crystals stained by uranyl acetate were visualized using a Philips CM12 electron microscope. The tubular vesicle shown is ﬂattened to the carbon surface and contains a two-dimensional crystal on each face. Bar, 0.5 μm.

Fig. 6. Crystallographic data of SecYEG embedded in trehalose.
(A) Computer-generated Fourier transform of an image of a SecYEG crystal. Each symbol represents a reﬂection, and the size of the box and the number within it (IQ values) relate to the quality of the data: a large box and a low number reﬂect a high signal to noise reﬂection (Henderson et al., 1986, 1990). The program MBIOX is used to generate the data (Crowther et al., 1996). The rings show the position where the CTF is zero, and the axes refer to the reciprocal lattice vectors. The edge of the plot corresponds to a resolution of 6 Å. (B) Phase error of unique Fourier components plotted as a function of resolution. The size of the box indicates the error associated with each measurement (1 <8°, 2 <14°, 3 <20°, 4 <30°, 5 <40°, 6 <50°, 7 <60°, 8 <70°, 9 <90°, where 90° is random). Values from 1 to 4 are shown as numbers, and decreasing box size indicates higher values. In this representation, measurements for reﬂections that are forbidden for P121 symmetry (0, k (odd)) were not removed, but were omitted upon imposing the P121 symmetry.
et al., 1998). In the unit cell, the asymmetric elements have an inverted orientation with respect to each other, with the contacts between them being crystallographic and not related to physiological associations.

The dimensions of the peaks and bands of density observed in the projection map and their spacings of ~10 Å are consistent with a predominantly α-helical architecture. At 9 Å resolution, some densities are resolved that are probably transmembrane α-helices running perpendicular to the membrane. Other densities are likely to represent more tilted helices. Clearly it would be premature at this point to comment on the number of transmembrane helices or to assign any density peak to any particular helix. Tilted helices can project in variable ways, as has been observed for many other membrane proteins, e.g. bacteriorhodopsin (Henderson et al., 1986), aquaporin (Murata et al., 2000), NhaA (Williams, 2000) and gap junctions (Unger et al., 1999). The hydrophilic loops connecting the transmembrane helices of SecYEG are not expected to contribute much to a projection map at this resolution, as they are quite short and tend not to be α-helical. Further interpretation will require a three-dimensional map, which will be obtained from images of tilted crystals (work in progress).

Although the molecular boundaries in the crystal cannot be drawn with any certainty at this stage, one possibility is shown in Figure 8. The outline was sketched using the 15 Å projection as a guide (Figure 7D), as often the structure presented at a lower resolution can be used to define the molecular boundary more clearly. Of course, any other possible outline would cover roughly the same area in projection.

We have compared our structure cautiously with the limited resolution information that is available from single particle analysis for protein translocation channels of SecYE (Meyer et al., 1999), SecYEG (Manting et al., 2000) and Sec61p (Beckmann et al., 1997; Ménoret et al., 2000). Clearly, the structure we have crystallized is not the same ring-like assembly seen before. Not only is our structure significantly smaller, but it also does not contain an obvious large pore. Therefore, the actual channel is likely to be formed by the assembly of more than one copy of the structure that we have crystallized. However, it would be premature to state how many SecYEG complexes constitute the functional protein channel. Conformational changes that seem likely upon oligomerization and channel formation may complicate the picture further. Nevertheless, the oligomerization of the SecYEG complex from a monomeric to a tetrameric species is supported by our analytical ultracentrifugation experiments, and this finding is consistent with experiments performed elsewhere (Manting et al., 2000). The monomeric species we identify in solution also has to be too small to form the observed translocation channel. The assembly of the translocation channel from smaller units is also supported by freeze-fracture electron microscopy employing the Sec61p complex (Hanein et al., 1996).

**Table 1. Electron crystallographic image statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane group symmetry</th>
<th>P121&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Plane group symmetry</th>
<th>P121&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>a = 101.9 ± 1.8 Å, b = 56.4 ± 0.6 Å, γ = 90.2 ± 0.8°</td>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>a = 101.9 ± 1.8 Å, b = 56.4 ± 0.6 Å, γ = 90.2 ± 0.8°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of lattices</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No. of lattices</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of images</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>No. of images</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of defocus</td>
<td>6,800–2,700 Å</td>
<td>Range of defocus</td>
<td>6,800–2,700 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>70 000–53 000×</td>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>70 000–53 000×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall phase residual to 8.9 Å</td>
<td>15.6°</td>
<td>Overall phase residual to 8.9 Å</td>
<td>15.6°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution range (Å)</th>
<th>No. of unique reflections&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Phase residual (90° is random)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200.0–20.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0–14.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.8°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0–11.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.3°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5–9.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.8°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9–8.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9–8.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.0–8.9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15.6°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Including reflections with IQ ≤7.

**Fig. 7.** Projection structure of SecYEG. Projection maps calculated from merged amplitudes and phases from 12 independent lattices of crystals embedded in trehalose. (A) Map drawn to 9 Å in P1 (no symmetry imposed). (B) With P12<sub>1</sub> symmetry imposed. An isotropic temperature factor of ~600 Å<sup>2</sup> was applied in (A) and (B) to compensate partially for the resolution-dependent degradation of image-derived amplitudes (Unger et al., 1997a). (C) Map at 9 Å resolution with imposed P12<sub>1</sub> symmetry without temperature factor adjustments. (D) Map at 15 Å resolution with P12<sub>1</sub> symmetry imposed. In all cases, four unit cells are shown, and one unit cell (containing two symmetry-related asymmetric units) is boxed. The solid lines indicate densities above the mean. Scale bar, 20 Å.
The addition of ribosomes to proteoliposomes containing the Sec61p complex or the co-reconstitution with another membrane protein complex induced the appearance of ring structures in the plane of the membrane. Therefore, it is possible that the actual channel is assembled from smaller units when protein translocation is initiated and disassembled upon termination. Assembly and disassembly of the channel may be triggered by the binding and release of its partners, such as the ribosome or SecA, and/or by the occupancy with a translocation substrate.

The reversible formation of a channel from smaller units appears to be unique to the Sec complex. Other known channels that form by oligomerization of monomers assemble after biosynthesis and remain assembled during their lifetime. The different behaviour of the protein-conducting channel may be related to its particularly large pore size. Disassembly of the channel upon termination of translocation may allow maintenance of the membrane barrier for small molecules. The large pore may not present a problem when the channel is in the process of translocating polypeptides because the latter may at least partially plug the hole. The reversible assembly of the translocation channel may thus be a novel mechanism of channel gating.

Knowledge of the mechanism of protein translocation will, of course, require a structural understanding to augment results from biochemical and genetic studies. Our medium-resolution projection map of SecYEG represents the first steps towards the elucidation of the structural details, which are needed to really understand in molecular detail how proteins are translocated through membranes. The next step towards this goal will be to determine a three-dimensional map of the complex.

**Materials and methods**

C$_2$E$_6$ was purchased from Sigma and OG from Anatrace. Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow, a pre-packed Superdex 200 preparation grade gel filtration column, Q-Sepharose High Performance media and protein-A/G-coupled Sepharose media were obtained from Pharmacia Biotech. Lipids were from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc. BioBeads were purchased from BioRad. All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma.

**Analytical methods**

SDS–PAGE was performed using 15 × 8 × 0.075 cm gels with 15% acrylamide (Laemmli, 1970) and proteins were visualized by staining with Coomassie blue R-250.

**Overexpression and purification**

To allow overproduction and purification of SecYEG, six histidines were attached to the N-terminus of SecE. Briefly, the HA-SecE gene carried by pHA-EYG [pBAD22, overexpressing clone containing HASecE, SecY and SecG in that order driven from the same (ara) promoter; Douville et al., 1995] was replaced by a 430 bp EcoRI–SalI PCR fragment coding for His6-SecE. Plasmid pHis-EYG expresses His6-tagged SecE, SecY and SecG under the control of the araBAD promoter of pBAD22. Plasmid pHis-EYG is a derivative of pHis-EYG carrying the prlA4 mutations (F286Y and I408N) in SecY.

A colony of freshly transformed E.coli strain c43 (DE3) (Miroux and Walker, 1996) with pHis-EYG or pHisEYG was inoculated into 50 ml of LB growth medium containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and grown with shaking overnight at 37°C as a pre-culture. Cultures (12 × 1 l) were then grown at 30°C overnight in 2× YT broth also containing 100 mg/ml ampicillin, 1% (w/v) l-(+)-arabinose and 4 ml of pre-culture. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and used without freezing by resuspending in 300 ml of buffer TSG [20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 0.3 M NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol]. The cells were lysed by one passage through a 40 ml pre-chilled French pressure cell. The lysate was kept on ice and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) added to a final concentration of 0.01% (v/v). Total membranes were crudely prepared by centrifugation (140 000 g, 90 min, 4°C) and washed by resuspending in 200 ml of TSG buffer before pre-centrifugation. Washed membranes were extracted by resuspending in the same buffer (100 ml) plus 1.25% (v/v) OG and stirred in the cold for 1 h at 4°C. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation (140 000 g, 60 min, 4°C). Purification proceeded from the extract making use of the hexa-histidine tag. An Ni$^{2+}$-chelated Sepharose column was employed to bind the SecYEG complex. After binding, the column was washed with TSG buffer containing 30 mM imidazole and 0.2% (v/v) C$_2$E$_6$. This procedure served both to remove the majority of contaminants and to exchange the detergent bound to SecYEG, so all subsequent steps were performed in C$_2$E$_6$. Bound protein was eluted in the same buffer with an elevated concentration of imidazole (330 mM). Further purification was achieved by gel filtration (Superdex 200pg XK 26/60) and anion exchange (Q-Sepharose HP XK 26/20) chromatography. The final buffer consisted of 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 0.2% (v/v) C$_2$E$_6$ and 0.12 M NaCl.

**Immunoprecipitation of SecYEG**

Polyclonal antibodies were raised in rabbits against peptides corresponding to the N-terminal regions of SecY and SecG. After several boosts,
the sera were assayed by western blotting until an acceptable titre was attained. To 100 μl of SecYEG (0.4 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 0.2% (v/v) C12E8 and 0.12 M NaCl), 100 μl of undiluted antiserum was added and the sample was mixed gently overnight at 4°C (temperature maintained throughout). A mixture of protein A and protein G coupled to Sepharose (25 μl each, in the same buffer) was then added and mixed for 4 h. The beads were also washed with buffer and the bound material was released with SDS sample buffer (50 μl) at 40°C for 10 min. Fractions of the bound material were examined by SDS–PAGE.

Activity determination of SecYEG

**ATPase activation of SecA.** SecYEG was reconstituted into phospholipid vesicles as described (Clausen et al., 1995) washed with ATPase reaction buffer [50 mM K-HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM K-acetate, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 12.5% glycerol] to the reaction mixture, which included 17 μg of purified SecYEG (purified in C12E8 or dodecyl maltoside) and 33 μl of E.coli phospholipids (20 mg/ml, in 6% deoxy-Big-CHAP, 50 mM K-HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT). The volume of the reaction mixture was topped up to 200 μl with reconstitution buffer. After incubation overnight at 4°C, the beads were removed by low-speed centrifugation and washed with 1 ml of 50 mM K-HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT. The proteoliposomes were harvested from the combined supernatants by high-speed centrifugation (Beckmann TLA 100.3 rotor, 70 000 r.p.m., 30 min, 4°C). The pellet was resuspended in 50 μl of 50 mM K-HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol.

The ATPase assay was performed in the following way. SecA (0.5 μg/ml, with EDTA to dimer) in a final volume of 20 μl [50 mM K-HEPES pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA)] was mixed on ice with 9 pmol (2 μl) of SecYEG reconstituted into phospholipid vesicles and then with 0.7 μl of proOmpA (3 mg/ml, 8 M urea, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM DTT). Assuming that we have 50% of SecYEG in the correct orientation and that all the SecYEG was reconstituted, leaves 4.5 pmol to activate SecA. The reaction was initiated by adding [γ-32P]ATP to 1.5 mM followed by transfer to 37°C. Samples (3.5 μl) were withdrawn at different time points and adsorbed onto polyethyleneimine-cellulose TLC sheets, which were processed and analysed as described elsewhere (Misselwitz et al., 1999).

**In vitro translocation of proOmpA by SecYEG.** For reconstitution of SecYEG into liposomes for translocation experiments, 50 μl of BioBeads SM2 (200 μm) were washed with ATPase reaction buffer and the double bound material was released with SDS sample buffer (50 μl) at 40°C for 10 min. Fractions of the bound material were examined by SDS–PAGE.

**Analytical ultracentrifugation.** Sedimentation equilibrium experiments were performed in a Beckman Optima XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge using Epon 6-channel or double Sector cells with a pathlength of 1.2 cm in combination with an An-50Ti rotor. The rotor speed was between 11 000 and 15 000 r.p.m., and the temperature 4°C. The absorbance versus radius distributions \( A(r) \) were recorded at 230 or 280 nm. Purified SecYEG (or SecYEG\(\text{H}_{\text{H2O}}\)) was applied in 140 or 250 μl volumes and initial protein concentrations were between 0.03 and 0.3 mg/ml in 8.6 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% CHAP, 50 mM K-HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT to match the detergent density (Schubert and Schuck, 1991).

The experimental sedimentation equilibrium profiles were analysed according to the following equation (Schubert and Schuck, 1991):

\[
A(r) = \sum_{i} A_i \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_i}\right) \exp [-i (1 - \tau - \rho_0) \omega^2 (r^2 - r_i^2)]/2RT
\]

with \( i \), number of protomers per oligomer; \( A_i \), absorbance of corresponding species; \( M_i \), molar mass of the protomer; \( M \), partial specific volume of the protein (assumed to be independent of the oligomeric state); \( \rho_0 \), solvent density; \( \omega \), angular velocity of the rotor; \( r \), radial position; \( r_i \), fixed radial position. The evaluations were performed according to Schuck (1994). They included tests for the statistical accuracy of the best fit parameters, considering the minimal increase in the sum of the squared residuals of the fit, \( \chi^2 \), that results from one non-optimal parameter \( A_i \). All other \( A_i \) were varied to compensate for the imposed constraint. The sharp minima of peaks obtained in the plots (Figure 2B and D) indicate very accurate values for each of the corresponding parameters. The partial specific volume of SecYEG at 0.75 ml/g was calculated according to Dorschlag, using the data set of Cohn and Edsall, as tabulated in Dorschlag (1986).

**Two-dimensional crystallization.** Purified protein was crystallized in two dimensions by the dialysis method (Kühlbrandt, 1992). Dilute complex (0.4 mg/ml in 0.2% C12E8) was added to detergent-solubilized lipid (phosphatidylethanolamine purified from E.coli, also solubilized in C12E8 at varying lipid:protein ratios (0.05–0.8, w/w)). The mixtures were dialysed against 200 ml of 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 0.12 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaN3, without detergent at 23°C, and the outside buffer was changed daily. The dialysis serves to remove the detergent, which in turn results in the formation of phospholipid bilayers (in our case, vesicles), wherein two-dimensional crystals form. Crystal trials were negatively stained and screened using a Philips CM12 electron microscope. Samples (2–4 μl) were allowed to sit for 2 min on a carbon-coated copper grid. Excess solution was blotted off, and the grid was stained with 1% uranyl acetate. Crystalline samples were seen over many defined lipid:protein ratios, with 0.2 being the best, and they took several weeks to grow. Promising crystalline samples were subjected to electron cryo-microscopy.

**Electron cryo-microscopy and image processing.** Frozen samples were prepared on grids using the back injection method, i.e. 2.5 μl of sample was pipetted into the same volume of a 4% (w/v) trehalose solution on a carbon-coated copper grid, blotted for 15 s face on the filter paper, before rapidly freezing in liquid nitrogen.

Grids were mounted in a Leica KF80 apparatus for transfer into a JEOL 3000 SFF electron microscope operated at 300 kV, equipped with a top-entry cryo-stage cooled to 4°C with liquid helium. Images were then taken using a spot-scan procedure with a total electron dose of 20–30 e/A2, at magnifications of 60 000 and 53 000×. Kodak SO-165 films were used to record images, and developed for 12 min with full-strength Kodak D19.

Optical diffraction was used to screen the micrographs, and areas giving rise to symmetrical distribution of sharp spots were digitized using a Zeiss SCAI scanner with a 7-μm step size over an area of 6000 × 6000 pixels. Images were then corrected for distortion of the crystal lattice and the effects of the contrast transfer function (CTF) using the MRC image-processing programs (Crowther et al., 1996). Projection maps were calculated, using the standard crystallographic computer programs in the CCP4 package (CCP4, 1994). The symmetry revealed by the program ALLSPACE (Valpuesta et al., 1994) and the P121\(\_s\) space group was imposed on the merged data. Projection maps were scaled to a maximum density of 250, and contoured in steps of 0.25 × r.m.s. density. A negative temperature factor of –600 was applied in Figures 7A, B and D, and 8 to compensate partially for the resolution-dependent degradation of image-derived amplitudes (Unger et al., 1997a).
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**Note added in proof**

Recently, a 3D structure has been calculated at 8 Å resolution (C. Breyton, W. Kühlbrandt and I. Collinson, unpublished results). Surprisingly, the crystal is constituted by a double membrane. The unit cell spans both membranes and is twice the volume we originally thought; thus, the asymmetric units must contain more than a single SecYEG copy. In fact, we see a well defined SecYEG dimer, exhibiting a 2-fold non-crystallographic symmetry. Within the unit cell, the two dimers, one in each membrane, are related to each other by the screw axis symmetry. Nevertheless, the SecYEG dimer is too small to constitute the translocating channel, and the conclusions concerning the possible reversible assembly as a means of channel gating remain unaffected.